

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/753,513	01/03/2001	Michael Mesh	S0489/7010 GSE	3166	
23338	23338 7590 05/17/2005		EXAMINER		
	DENNISON, SCHULTZ, DOUGHERTY & MACDONALD 1727 KING STREET			WONG, BLANCHE	
SUITE 105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRI	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		2667		

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/753,513	MESH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Blanche Wong	2667			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	nuary 2005.				
2a).	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 April 2002</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen						
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/753,513

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because Fig. 1 does not have descriptive legend nor label.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 1-4,12,14,16 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - With regard to cl. 1, ln. 6,14,17, Examiner suggests to replace services –
 with "services data".
 - With regard to cl. 1, In. 9, Examiner suggests to replace services with "packets".
 - With regard to cl. 2, In. 4, Examiner suggests to replace services with "services data".
 - With regard to cl. 3, In. 4, Examiner suggests to replace services with "services data".
 - With regard to cl. 4, In. 4, Examiner suggests to replace service with "services data".
 - With regard to cl. 4, In. 5, Examiner suggests to replace services with "services data".
 - With regard to cl. 12, In. 4 and 5, Examiner suggests to replace –
 transceivers with "optical transceiver".
 - With regard to cl. 14, In. 10, Examiner suggests to replace service with "services data".

Application/Control Number: 09/753,513

Art Unit: 2667

With regard to cl. 16, ln. 6, Examiner suggests to replace – (wavelengths)
 – with "having different wavelengths", as in cl. 5, ln. 6-7.

With regard to cl. 18, In. 4,6,7,17,19, Examiner suggests to replace –
 services – with "services data".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 1 lacks enablement. Cl. 1 claims a system that comprises of a service collection unit and an aggregator. The combination is not taught in this application.

Specification, p. 10-13, discloses a service collection unit that can receive (collect) via service interface 84, process into packet through packetization 86, and convert into optical signal via framer 92. The embodiment of a service collection unit is illustrated in Fig. 4. Specification, p. 13-14, discloses an aggregator that has two functions: sorting and aggregating. The embodiment of an aggregator is illustrated in Fig. 5. The service collection unit Fig. 4 and aggregator Fig. 5 are mutually exclusive

Application/Control Number: 09/753,513

Art Unit: 2667

embodiment. However, claim 1 recites "a system ... comprising: a service collection unit ... and an aggregator." Claim 1 combines a service collection unit and an aggregator. This combination or its working is not disclosed in the Specification, and thus lacks enablement.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claim 1 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 09/753399. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because 09/753399 discloses a method for data transmission over an optical network that performs the functions of a service collection unit and an aggregator, namely "collecting, in at least one service collection unit, ... processing ... into packets, converting packets into optical signals ... sorting ... in at least one aggregator module, ... ", and the current application discloses a system for data transmission over an optical network with a service collection unit and an

Application/Control Number: 09/753,513

Art Unit: 2667

aggregator. It would have been obvious that a system cannot perform without a method.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blanche Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3177. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830am to 530pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BW

BW

April 29, 2005

CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Clean Ti Nfugue